

First Appeal

Ex Enclosed- Five
Pages, R.T.I.
Application and
its reply

Appeal under the Provision of R.T.I. Act. 2005

To Professor Sukhadeo Shrivastava,
President, Indian Economic Association,
Chairman, Indian Council of Social Science Research,
Asna Asaf Ali Marg, New-Delhi

1. Name and Address of the Appellant Dr. Ghanshyam N. Singh
A/14, Noba Nagar Phase I,
Near Khoja Emali, Phulwarisharif,
Patna-801505
E-mail- ghanshyamnsingh@gmail.com
Mobile - 09431494843

2. Name and Address /es of the P.I.O. against the decision of whom the Appeal is preferred.....

Dr. Deepti Taneja,
Public Relation officer, I.E.A.
249, Rajdhani Enclave,
Pitampura, Delhi - 110034

3. Particulars of the order including number, if any, against which the appeal is preferred.....

1. The reply of my R.T.I. Application under
the R.T.I. Act. 2005 dt. 11.06.2015, provided by
the letter of P.R.O. dt. 14.07.2015 contain
the following mis-information - be corrected.

① Ambiguity in giving reply
with an intention to deny the information
sought. ② Took advantage of mis-spelled
as I.C.S.R. and did to know that there is no
a body like I.C.S.R., it should be I.C.S.S.R.
of which you are the chairman and I.E.A.
gets a Grant from it.



1/1

© Misrepresentation of a decision of C.I.C.
to defame the person/persons seeking information
under R.T.I. Act-2005 vide the reply to my query to

4. Brief facts leading to Appeal.....

1. All the ~~reply~~ points raised by
my above stated R.T.I. application
was not replied in the ways prescribed
under the R.T.I. Act-2005.

2. No mention of the name and address
of the First Appellate Authority which is
mandatory to the P.R.O. to state in their
reply — thus applied mind that Person
President of the First Appellate Authority, have the
application.

5. Number, date, name and address of P.I.O. to whom Application was made.....

For queries, 11.06.2015 to

Mr. Deepak Tanuja

The Public Relation officer,
I.E.A.

249, Rajdham Enclave
Ditampur,

For details — The R.T.I. Application New Delhi-110034

and
The Reply by P.R.O. I.E.A. is enclosed
herewith.

6. Prayer or Relief sought.....

① The Public Relation officer, I.E.A. be
charged for her action in giving
ambiguous reply, mis-leading infor-
mation, lack of knowledge about the
R.T.I. Act-2005, thereby denial
to the group.

② The institution, she belongs to
take action for her act against the
rule of R.T.I. Act-2005

③ should be asked to provide point-wise specific reply and not evasive one as given in the reply.

7. Grounds for the Prayer.....

1. Ambiguous reply.
2. Mis giving information.
3. Unawareness of the provision of the R.T.I. Act. 2005
4. Should provide specific point-wise reply.

8. Verification by the Appellant.....

Certified that the statement made above is best to my knowledge and belief.

~~First Appellant~~

9. Any other information which the Commission may deem necessary for deciding the appeal....

1. Kindly ask the P.R.O. of I.E.A. to provide information through post as also at my e-mail I.D. given above as I will be abroad on academic-professional cum family visit for sometime now.

10. Certified that the matters under appeal or complaint have not been previously filed, or are pending with any court or with any other authority.

Chandhyan N. S. 48
Signature of the Appellant.
28.07.2015.

APPLICATION SEEKING INFORMATION UNDER R.T.I. Act. 2005

To Dr. Seepati Tanig
The Public Relation Officer, I.E.A.
249, Rajdhani Enclave
Pitampura, New-Delhi - 110034

1. Name and Address of the ApplicantDr. Ghanshyam N. Singh,
Advocate,
Supreme Court of India

&
Patna High Court.
A/14, Noba Nagar Phase I, Near Khoja Emali
Phulwarisharif, Patna 801505
E-mail-ghanshyamn Singh@gmail.com
Mob. 9431494843

2. Particulars of Information sought

Information regarding the Grant received
by the Indian Economic Association from various
institutions as also details of letter from C.I.C.
if any. forbidding any member of I.E.A. or
any other person for seeking information under the
R.T.I. Act, 2005 as reported in the Annual Report (2013-2014)
of I.E.A.

3. Details of the Information Required.....

1. Details of the amount of Grants given
by various agencies as I.C.S.R., R.B.I., Planning
Commission etc etc to the Indian Economic
Association during the year 2013-2014 and
2014-2015 (March end.)
2. Details of the Grants sanctioned and
released by the Indian Economic
Association to regional associations like
U.P. Economic Association, B.E.A. and others
with its reimbursement of bills during 2013-2014
and 2014-2015 (March end.)
3. Funds released to the host institution

4. The details of the letter or information from C.I.C. in which it expressed its desire to penalise such individual (RTI information seeker) as reported at P.23 of Secretary's Report 2013-2014 present at the 92nd th conference at Udaipur.

F 407993 Patras 84

28.07.2015

Date: 14/07/2015

To,

Dr. Ghanshyam N. Singh,

A/14, Noba Nagar, Phase-I,

Near Khoja Emali, Phulwasharif,

Patna-801505, Bihar.

Reply to the RTI Application of 11/06/2015, received by this Office on 14/06/2015

Details of the Information Required:

1. Amount of Grants given by various agencies as I.C.S.R., R.B.I., Planning, Commission, etc. etc. to Indian Economic Association during 2013-2014 and 2014-15 (March end)


There is no institution by the name of I.C.S.R. with which IEA is in any kind of contact.
For the rest of the institutional grants:

For F.Y. 2013-14

The information is already furnished on the Indian Economic Association (IEA) website www.indianeconomicassociation.com

For F.Y. 2014-15

The IEA has received grants from I.C.S.S.R., R.B.I., U.N.D.P., I.F.P.R.I. and IEA Trust for Research and Development. The collating of this data is under process and would be made available as and when ready in the public domain by placing on IEA's website, as also distributed during the Annual Conference (98th).


27-07-2015

2. Details of the grants sanctioned and released by the Indian Economic Association to Regional Economic Associations with its reimbursement details during 2013-14 and 2014-15

The IEA does not release any grant to the Regional Economic Associations on a reimbursement basis. However, the information of lump sum grants to such associations by the IEA is already available on the IEA's website.

3. Funds released to the host institute (MLSU) for holding 97th Annual Conference on December 27—29, 2014 and its details

The IEA gave an amount of Rs. 2,00,000 (Rupees Two Lakhs only) as seed money to the Mohanlal Sukhadia University, Udaipur, Rajasthan for hosting the 97th Annual Conference of the IEA.

4. The details of the Letter or information from C.I.C. in which it expressed its desire to penalize such individual (RTI information seeker) as reported at pg. 2-3 of Secretary's Report, 2013-14

The CIC in its decision for File No. CIC/SA/A/2014/000405 in Mr. Rishipal Singh Tomar vs. Directorate of Education, South West-B, GNCTD, dated 17/12/2014 noted, "*.....The Commission also notices that the appellant is misusing the RTI to take settle his personal scores against the school and the teachers. The RTI is not a rendezvous for charge-sheeted, penalized and irresponsible teachers.....If any further harassment is done by the appellant, the school authorities or the teachers can approach the legal consultants to initiate proper civil/ criminal proceedings against him.*"

It was on this basis that Secretary noted the referred remark in his message.

G.W.
27.07.2015

5. The details of the show-cause notice issued to IEA Life members (yourself and other) for seeking information under the RTI Act 2005 and its follow up result


For the show cause notice issued to you:

No show cause notice has been issued to you for seeking information under the RTI Act 2005. The said show cause notice, issued to you on 18/02/2015 clearly stated that, "....**While the Committee recognized your right to seek information under R.T.I. or any other means,** "it is of the considered view that his actions in recent past have done incalculable damage to the Association in a number of ways, especially in the matter of getting grants from funding agencies and considers these actions as highly inappropriate. Because of his embarrassing questions to many funding agencies, and Universities and other institutions, which have hosted the annual conferences, some of them are reluctant to continue the grants. In fact, some of the funding agencies have stopped funding the IEA. Not only that, institutions are reluctant to host IEA Conferences."....."

The follow up result of the same cannot be provided to you at this stage as the file is still under process and any information being revealed to you at this stage would hamper the normal course of proceeding of the said case. Hence, as per the provisions of the RTI Act 2005, the information on the follow up action of your show cause notice is being withheld from you for the time being. The same would be made available to you as well as placed in the public domain, as and when the proceedings would be over.

For show cause notice issued to other IEA Life Member:

This is 'third party information' and cannot be disclosed to you.

INDIAN ECONOMIC ASSOCIATION

Public Information Officer


Place: Delhi

Date: 14/07/2015

Deepti Taneja

Public Information Officer

Indian Economic Association


29.07.2015